

REMARKS

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action dated August 21, 2008. Claims 1-13 are pending in the present application. Claims 1-2 and 4-5 are rejected. Allowable claims 6-7 and 10-11 have been amend to incorporate the features of their respective independent claims, in view of the Examiner's comments, in order to place the claims in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully submits that no new matter has been presented. Claims 1 and 4 have been canceled. Accordingly, claims 2 and 5-13 remain pending. For the reasons set forth more fully below, Applicant respectfully submits that the claims as presented are allowable. Consequently, reconsideration, allowance, and passage to issue are respectfully requested.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant notes with appreciation that claims 6-13 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In response, allowable claims 6-7 and 10-11 have been amend to incorporate the features of their respective independent claims. Dependent claims 2, 8-9, and 12-13 have been amended to correct dependencies.

Drawings

Examiner Stated:

...the "windows" must be shown...

In response, Figure 4 has been amended to include the phrase "of one or more windows" in box S401 of Figure 4. Support for the amendment is found on page 13,

lines 3-5 and 14-16, and on page 17, lines 17-19. The corrected drawing is attached hereto.

Rejections 35 U.S.C. §102

Examiner Stated:

Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wagner (U.S. Patent number 5,933,130). ...

As noted above, claims 1 and 4 have been canceled. Applicant respectfully submits that the rejections with respect to these claims are now moot.

Rejections 35 U.S.C. §103

Examiner Stated:

Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wagner (U.S. Patent 6,091,397) in view of Lee (U.S. Patent 6,091,397).

Dependent claims 2 and 5 depend from allowable amended independent claims 6 and 10, respectively. Accordingly, the above-articulated arguments related to independent claims 6 and 10 apply with equal force to claims 2 and 5, which are thus allowable over the cited reference for at least the same reasons as claims 6 and 10.

Conclusion

Applicant's attorney believes this application is in condition for allowance.

Should any unresolved issues remain, Examiner is invited to call Applicant's attorney at the telephone number indicated below.

Respectfully submitted,

October 21, 2008

/Joseph A. Sawyer, Jr./
Joseph A. Sawyer, Jr.
Reg. No. 30,801

Customer Number 54161

(650) 493-4540

(650) 493-4549